AN ADVANCED IMPLEMENTATION OF THE VOLTAGE LEVEL MONITORING AND CONTROL USING SOFT SENSOR METHODS FOR UNIFIED POWER QUALITY CONDITIONER
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Abstract: This paper presents a design and simulation of UPQC system for limitations of nonlinear load factors. The Neural Network controller is designed for tuning the PI controller parameters for achieving the optimal voltage in the solar power connected to the UPQC system. Solar power used to improve the power for load side power system designed in network. The MATLAB simulation software is used for simulation of results. From the simulation results, the Total Harmonics Distortion value is reduced from 2.77% to 1.04% in the voltage side similarly 4.48% to 1.54% in the current side. Also the waveform of the supply system is improved.
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1. Introduction

The power factor stability and quality is distributed due to various factor such as sag voltage, non linear load etc. For the power system engineer controlling and maintaining the quality of power factor in the desired level is the challenging task. For maintaining the voltage and current level in the constant value during the operation time will be tedious because of variation of load side voltage and power line loss etc. Recent times for power quality improvement purposed the following authors Kolhatar and Das have explained the detailed concept of UPQC involved in the uninterrupted power supply (UPS) [1].

Aredes et al. has proposed the dynamic voltage restorer (DVR) which is connected together via common link capacitor. In which showing of the active power concept is assigned in detailed [2]. Singh.A et al has explained the power quality problem in the transmission lines [3]. Ghosh et al. briefed about topology methods used in feeder in the network system. In critical load bus regulation of voltage is the important parameter. It has been clearly explained it [4]. Khadikkar et al. have elaborated the compensation of voltage and current adjacent feeders [5]. Fujita et al. has identified the unified power quality conditioner for current condition purpose [6].

Karimi et al. has explained the rotating reference frame used for suppress the harmonics and important of power factor problems [7]. George has explained the soft computing based controller [8]. Basu et al. has proposed new methods for generating gating signal for current regulation in a shunt converter [9]. Zhili et al. have proposed protection methods for voltage surges, various short circuit condition in the UPQC system [10]. Axete et al. have proposed effect of harmonics in the transformer and LC filter and they have also discussed about inverter side harmonics problems [11].

This paper discussed about without series injection transformer UPQC system is connected the distributed side connection for medium voltage application [12]. Neural network based controller employed in the UPQC system which gives good result for electronics application. The paper deals with monitoring stability in the UPQC system using neural network methods [13]. The authors have elaborated the application of NN in this paper, which was clearly discussed about configuration and tuning rules etc. Fuzzy logic controller plays critical role in this work. The paper discussed about the compensation signal to CSI cased system [14-18].

Recent time improvement of neural network is given in the wing rock system. This SRFNNC system is comprised of a recurrent fuzzy neural network (RFNN) controller and a supervisory controller [19]. To improve control accuracy and
robustness to disturbances and noise, new design strategies are necessary to overcome problems caused by nonlinearity and mutual interactions [20]. In steel roll mill have the neural network controller is employed in elaborated in this work [21]. The authors have clearly compared the performance of the different controller and have been listed in this paper [22]. For important of cross-width profile thickness in the hot rolling mill ANN controller has been implemented for controlling [23].

In this work, the conventional PI controller has been replaced by a ANN controller (ANNC). The ANNC has been used in APFs in place of conventional PI controller for improving the dynamic performance [24, 25].

The power electronics applications for control purpose ANN was used for self-adapting and high-rated calculation for handle high nonlinearities, uncertainties susceptible to occur in a controlled nonlinear system [26]. Control of shunt and series active power filter s simple feed forward ANN has been used [27]. Control methods, such as the PI, PID, sliding-mode, predictive, unified constant frequency (UCF) controllers, etc., was implemented [28-30]. In this paper Neural Network based PI tuned power quality improvement system is implemented.

2. Measurement methods

The schematic diagram of UPQC and solar system is shown in Fig. 1. The AC supply which is connected to the series transformer whose output is given to filter. The filtered AC output is given to series and shunt inverter. For maintaining the harmonic level and rectification of ripple factor DC capacitor line is connected. For maintaining the voltage level of the DC capacitor line different control strategies has been implemented.

3. PI controller

The schematic block diagram of PI controller is shown in Fig. 2. The input voltage is the set up by the user. The output value is taken from the UPQC system feedback to comparator.

The comparator compares the both input and output value. The output of the comparator is gives to proportional integral controller. The function of PI controller is to reduce the overshoot value in the system voltage and maintaining a constant load voltage level. Normall y the tuning value of PI controller set is using Z-N tuning method. In this work the controller tuning value $K_p=1.6$ and $\tau_i=36$.
4. Design of fuzzy logic controller

Fuzzy logic controller schematic block diagram is shown in Figure 3. It has four blocks; first block is fuzzification which is used to convert the crisp information into Fuzzy value. Fuzzy logic system scaling is the important criteria, which is used for correct the input and output range of the system. In this fuzzy system input and output range value are desired and it has been named as input variable and output variable respectively.

The rule base is also designed for the decision made execution of action by assigning strategies to the rules is made. All the rules are controlled and the output is defuzzified. In this paper Fuzzy logic controller is designed using two input variables Error and Changing Error. The input Error (E) and Changing Error (CE) are feed to the fuzzy controller. Each of the variables having 7 membership functions which is labeled NB (negativebig), NM (negativemedium), NS (negativesmall), ZE (zero), PS (positivesmall), PM (positivemedium), and PB (positivebig), Table 1.

Depicts the 49 control rules are developed for this UPQC system using Error (E) and Changing Error (CE) as input variables. Finally the 49 sets are defuzzified in to a single value.

5. Designing and training of ANN

An ANN is essentially a cluster of suitably interconnected non-linear elements of very simple form that possess the ability of learning and adaptation. These networks are characterized by their topology, the way in which they communicate with their environment, the manner in which they are trained and their ability to process information. Their ease of use, inherent reliability and fault tolerance has made ANNs available medium for control. An alternative to fuzzy controllers in many cases, neural controllers share the need to replace hard controllers with intelligent controllers in order to increase control quality (King, 1999).

A feed forward neural network works as compensation signal generator. This network is designed with three layers. The input layer with seven neurons, hidden layer with 21 and the output layer with three neurons are given. Activation functions chosen are tan sigmoidal and pure linear in the hidden and output layers respectively. The network topology of ANN is as shown in Fig. 4.

![Fig. 3. Schematic block diagram for Fuzzy logic controller](image)

Table 1. Rule base of the DC voltage control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ERROR (E)</th>
<th>NL</th>
<th>NM</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>EZ</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NL</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>EZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>EZ</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>PL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 4. Exploded diagram of ANN

Fig. 5. Flow chart for training ANN controller
The compensator output depends on input and its evolution. The chosen configuration has seven inputs three each for reference load voltage and source current respectively, and one for output of error (PI) controller. The neural network trained for outputting fundamental reference currents. The signals thus obtained are compared in a hysteresis band current controller to give switching signals. The block diagram of ANN compensator is as shown in Fig. 6.

Neural network has generated a good deal of interest in certain applications. Studies show that artificial neural networks (ANN) are reliable in improvement of power electronic system control, in fact self-adapting and high-rated calculation characteristics of ANN allows them to handle high nonlinearities, uncertainties susceptible to occur in a controlled nonlinear system (Elmitwally et al., 2000).

6. Membership function distribution

Initially the fuzzy PI controller is developed for the conventional PI controller. Afterwards fuzzification, rule base and defuzzification for the decision of the fuzzy logic are discussed. The decision involves the fixing the membership function to the parameter such as Error (E), Changing Error (CE) and output. The membership function is shown in figure 7 and the range of membership value 0, 1.2 for error and change of error is -1, 1 and similarly the controller output range is 0, 1 and shown in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 respectively.
7. Result and discussion

(i) Output response PI controller

In this PI controller, the source voltage is given to UPQC system is \( V_{\text{rms}} = 1.1 \times 10^4 \) V and current is \( I_{\text{rms}} = 12 \) Ampere. PI controller output response for source voltage side is shown in Figure 10. It is inferred from the Figure 10 the input voltage is \( V_{\text{rms}} = 1.1 \times 10^4 \) volts for all the phases and the current \( I_{\text{rms}} \) is for phase-A 12.72 A, phase-B 12.73 A and phase-C 12.76 A. From the Fig. 11 the PI controller output response for load voltage and current. It is observed that the PI controller maintaining the load voltage is \( V_{\text{rms}} = 1.098 \times 10^4 \) from Fig. 12 and the current is same for all the phases \( I_{\text{rms}} = 15.55 \) A from Fig. 13. PI controller output responses for real and reactive power for source side and load side is shown in Fig. 14 and Fig. 15. In this Figure the real and reactive power is significantly improved the value of \( P_{\text{load}} = 5391 \) and \( Q_{\text{load}} = 5757 \) similarly from source side real and reactive power \( P_{\text{source}} = 3384 \) and \( Q_{\text{source}} = -4442 \). In addition to the about the Harmonic distortion level is more for PI controller. Hence for the purpose assign Fuzzy logic controller can be implemented.
Fig. 10 Waveform for source voltage and current (PI controller)

Fig. 11 Waveform for source voltage and current (PI controller)

Fig. 12 Waveform for load voltage and current (PI controller)

Fig. 13 Waveform for load voltage and current (PI controller)
(ii) Fuzzy controller output response

Fuzzy logic controller is designed for controlling the voltage and current level in the UPQC system. The membership function was written and all the values are entered in the fuzzy controller. After obtaining the proper tuning value the fuzzy based PI controller output response is shown in Fig. 16 to Fig. 21. The extracted response from the source side voltage and current waveforms has shown in Figure 16 and 17, respectively.

The source side voltage waveform from in this work the input voltage is $V_{\text{rms}}=1.1 \times 10^{4}$ for all the phases and the current $I_{\text{rms}}$ is for phase-A 594.2 A, phase-B 620.9 A and phase-C 592.9 A. Load side voltage and current waveform is shown in Fig. 18 and Fig. 19. From the Fig. 18 structure load voltage is maintained constant $V_{\text{rms}}=1.098 \times 10^{4}$ and from Fig. 19 the current is same for all the phases $I_{\text{rms}}=547.1$ A. Fig. 21 shows the waveform of load side real and reactive power is improved $P_{\text{load}}=14.3 \times 10^{4}$ and $Q_{\text{load}}=8.1 \times 10^{4}$ from source side real and reactive power $P_{\text{source}}=11.2 \times 10^{4}$ and $Q_{\text{source}}=13.8 \times 10^{4}$ as shown in Fig. 20. When compare to PI controller based UPQC system, the Fuzzy based PI controller is superior.
Fig. 16. Waveform for source voltage and current (with Fuzzy controller)

Fig. 17. Waveform for source voltage and current (with Fuzzy controller)

Fig. 18. Waveform for load voltage and current (with Fuzzy controller)

Fig. 19. Waveform for load voltage and current (with Fuzzy controller)
(ii) Neural Network controller output response

After introducing the Neural Network controller, the response is shown in Fig. 22 to Figure 27. The source side voltage waveform from shown in the Figure 22, the input voltage is $V_{rms}=1.098 \times 10^4$ for all the phases and the current $I_{rms}$ is for phase-A 618.4 A, phase-B 645.7 A and phase-C 617 A as shown in Figure 23. From the Fig. 24 structure load voltage is maintained constant $V_{rms}=1.098 \times 10^4$ and the current is same for all the phases $I_{rms}=573.3$ A shown in Fig. 25. The Fig. 27 shows the waveform of load side real and reactive power is improved $P_{load}=17.8 \times 10^4$ and $Q_{load}=3.9 \times 10^4$ from source side real and reactive power $P_{source}=12.2 \times 10^4$ and $Q_{source}=14.8 \times 10^4$ as shown in Fig. 26. It was inferred NN is superior to Fuzzy logic controller.

![Fig. 20. Waveform for real and reactive power for source side and load side (with Fuzzy controller)](image1)

![Fig. 21 Waveform for real and reactive power for source side and load side (with Fuzzy controller)](image2)

![Fig. 22. Waveform for source voltage and current (with NN controller)](image3)
Fig. 23. Waveform for source voltage and current (with NN controller)

Fig. 24. Waveform for load voltage and current (with NN controller)

Fig. 25. Waveform for load voltage and current (with NN controller)

Fig. 26. Waveform for real and reactive power for source side and load side (with NN controller)
Fig. 27. Waveform for real and reactive power for source side and load side (with NN controller)

Table 2. Comparison of Harmonics result with different controller

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.NO</th>
<th>Controller</th>
<th>THD for Voltage Harmonics (%)</th>
<th>THD for Current Harmonics (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase A</td>
<td>Phase B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Without controller</td>
<td>13.22</td>
<td>13.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fuzzy</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Neural Network</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Conclusion
Neural Network based PI tuned controller is designed for maintaining the voltage level constant in the UPQC system and to improve the power quality. It is observed from the Table 2. Neural Network tuned PI controller is best suited for this system.
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