Modeling and Analysis of PFC with Appreciable Voltage Ripple to Achieve Fast Transient Response
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Abstract— The design of an active Power Factor Corrector (PFC) leads to slow transient response in this type of converter. The reason for this is due to compensator placed in the output-voltage feedback loop is frequently designed to have narrow bandwidth to filter the voltage ripple of twice the line frequency obtaining from the PFC output voltage. This feedback loop is designed with this filtering effect because a relatively high ripple would cause considerable distortion in the reference line current feedback loop and line current. However, if the bandwidth of the compensator in the voltage loop is relatively wide, the transient response of the PFC range is improved. As a significance of the voltage ripple at the output of the compensator, both the static and the dynamic behaviors of the PFC change in comparison with no voltage ripple on the control signal. This paper presented, the static behavior of a PFC with appreciable voltage ripple in the output-voltage feedback loop using two parameters: the amplitude of the relative voltage ripple (k) on the control signal and its phase lag angle (ϕk). The total power processed by the PFC depends on these parameters, which do not vary with the load and which determine the Total Harmonic Distortion (THD) and the Power Factor (PF) at the input of the power factor correction converter. Finally, the results are verified by MATLAB/Simulink simulation.

Index Terms— Modeling, AC-DC boost converter, PFC controller, Power supplies.

1. Introduction

In order to limit the harmonic content in mains of supply, the use of active power factor corrector is necessary. Figure 1 shows the general scheme of an active PFC controlled by two feedback loops, which is the most widely-used circuitry to control converters of this type. In this figure, the two loops are inner current loop and another one is outer voltage loop. The current loop makes the line current follow a reference signal which is obtained by multiplying a rectified sinusoidal waveform (obtained from the line voltage) by VA. Thus, the line current, ig, is a sinusoid whose amplitude is determined by the value of VA. The standard design of the voltage feedback loop is done with low bandwidth to imply low ripple on VA in order to avoid line current distortion. However, this design with low bandwidth in the output voltage feedback loop, leads to limit the transient response of the PFC.

![Figure 1 PFC Boost converter with average current mode: (a) Low bandwidth and (b) High bandwidth.](image-url)
As there is a influence of voltage ripple on the control signal, $v_{A}(t)$, all the static electrical quantities of the PFC vary in relation to those corresponding to the standard design case, i.e., with a control signal with no ripple. Figure 2 shows some line waveforms corresponding to a variety of design conditions. As this figure shows, the line current has appreciable distortion, which is a consequence of the voltage ripple on $v_{A}(t)$. It should be noted that only ripple of twice the line frequency is assumed in $v_{A}(t)$, which is a logical consequence of the behavior of both the RC output cell ($C_{R}R_{L}$) and the error amplifier, $A_{R}$, at frequencies greater than twice the line frequency. Therefore, this voltage ripple can be defined by means of only two parameters: its magnitude, $v_{Amp}$, and its phase lag angle, $\phi_{A}$. As the voltage ripple magnitude can be related to $v_{Amp}$ through $k$ (5), then $v_{Adc}$, $k$ and $\phi_{L}$ completely define the state of the control variable, $v_{A}(t)$. Where these values have been expressed as functions of $k$ and $\phi_{L}$ and of the power stage variables (i.e., $v_{gp}$, $v_{o}$ and $R_{L}$). An important design parameter of any PFC is the output voltage ripple. In the case of PFCs with a fast output-voltage feedback loop, this ripple is mainly generated by the current sources. The relative output voltage ripple at twice the line frequency is compared with that of four times the line frequency. Only in the case of high values of $k$ (near to 1) and $\phi_{L}$ is the value of line frequency become significant.

The steady state expressions can be easily obtained as

$$I_{op} = \frac{2V_{o}\sqrt{1+k^2+2k \sin \phi_{A}}}{R_{L}(2+k \sin \phi_{A})}$$ (6)

$$\phi_{A} = \cos^{-1}[(v_{Amp}/v_{Adc}) \cos \phi_{Adc}]+\phi_{Adc} - \pi/2$$ (7)

The dc component of the output voltage is related to $I_{dc}$ through the impedance of the $R_{L}C_{g}$ cell as:

$$V_{dc} = i_{dc}[R_{L}/(1+R_{L}C_{g})]$$ (8)

3. Simulation Results & Discussion

The simulation model of the PFC boost converter is maintained at 155V for both low bandwidth and high bandwidth. The output voltage has been boosted nearly 400V in both cases. From figure 3 the gate pulses given for closed loop PFC boost converter can be observed clearly and the duty cycle of gate signal has been maintained constant switching frequency (20 KHz).The major difference of low bandwidth model from high bandwidth is considered with the variation of the amplitude of the relative voltage ripple ($k$) on the control signal and its phase lag angle ($\phi_{L}$) of the output voltage controller. This can be observed from the following figures clearly. Figure 3 Gate Pulses for closed loop system.
Figure 3 Gate Pulses for closed loop system
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Figure 4. Response of line voltage & line current: (a) Low bandwidth and (b) High bandwidth

(a) (b)

Figure 5 Zoomed waveforms of Line voltage & Line current: (a) Low bandwidth and (b) High bandwidth

(a) (b)
Figure 6. Control Signal: (a) Low bandwidth and (b) High bandwidth.

Figure 7. Response of output voltage: (a) Low bandwidth and (b) High bandwidth.

Figure 8. Zoomed response of output voltage: (a) Low bandwidth and (b) High bandwidth.
It is clear from the the figure 4 (a) & (b) that the power factor has been improved in low band width than that of the high bandwidth. So the classical design of PFC is favorable with low bandwidth to get good power factor but the response is slow at the output side. Figure 5 (a) & (b) shows that the distortion of current response is more in the case of high bandwidth when compared with low bandwidthwidth. Figure 8 (a) & (b) shows the zoomed response of output voltage of boost converter in which the ripple can be observed. Though the ripple content in output voltage is less in case of low bandwidth, the response is slow. Though the ripple content in output voltage is considerable in case of high bandwidth, the response is fast.

Conclusion

The static behavior of a PFC with appreciable voltage ripple in the feedback loop has been observed in this model using two parameters: the amplitude of the relative voltage ripple (k) on the control signal and its phase lag angle (\( \phi_2 \)). By the variation of these two parameters the required bandwidth to achieve fast transient response with appreciable voltage ripple can be obtained.
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